

## Reviewers form for PRACE proposals

PRACE peer review website: <https://prace-peer-review.cines.fr/>

### SUMMARY

Proposal reference number:

Applicant name:

Organization:

Title of the project:

Research Field:

### REVIEWER SELF-ASSESSMENT

Please indicate the areas of expertise that are relevant to your assessment of this proposal. If the proposal is multidisciplinary, please give details of which part of the proposal your assessment is directed towards. Take care not to reveal your identity to the applicant.

What is your level of confidence in assessing this proposal?

Low	
Medium	
High	

(e.g. **High**: a clear understanding of all aspects of the proposal; **Medium**: a clear understanding of some aspects of the proposal – please be explicit which areas you are able to comment on; **Low**: unable to comment with confidence on any part of the proposal. If this is the case please send the proposal back to the peer review office without completing the form).

Please explain the choice you made above

### PROPOSAL ASSESSMENT

#### How well the proposal matches the call

Please comment on the relevance of the proposal to the context of the call making particular reference to the following additional specific criteria published with the call:

- Projects must have a high level of scientific and technical maturity.
- There must be the anticipated publication of results in one or more high-quality journals.
- Projects should focus on topics of major relevance for European research.
- Project should demonstrate the need for Tier-0 resources.

Insert your comments here

## REVIEW OF THE QUALITY OF THE PROPOSAL

### Quality

Please comment on the level of excellence of this proposal making particular reference to:

- The novelty and timeliness of the science proposed;
- The ambition, adventure and transformative aspects that are presented in the proposal;
- The appropriateness of the proposed methodology

Insert your comments here

--

Quality Classification (please select one category)

Low	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medium	<input type="checkbox"/>
High	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Impact

Please comment on the extent to which the proposal shows the potential impact of the project, making particular reference (where appropriate) to:

- The relevance of the research proposed for the development of the research area (in the context of Europe and worldwide);
- If there is likely to be any commercial or societal application and if the research would be transferable to other scientific disciplines;
- Whether appropriate routes and resources have been identified for dissemination and knowledge exchange. For example, dissemination through publications, presentations, establishing of new collaborations with other research groups, interaction with researchers from a different discipline or the General Public;
- The expected level of scientific publications resulting from the project: very high, high, medium, low (conference proceedings).

Insert your comments here

--

Impact Classification (please select one category)

Low	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medium	<input type="checkbox"/>
High	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Applicant/Team

Please comment on the applicant's ability to deliver the proposed project, making particular reference to:

- The appropriateness of the team's track;
- The skills of the team presented in the application

Insert your comments here

--

Applicant/Team Classification (please select one category)

Low	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medium	<input type="checkbox"/>
High	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Resources and Management

Please comment on the amount of compute resources requested and the management of the project, making reference to:

- How well you feel the team will work in order to deliver the project successfully (i.e. is the number of members of the team and their experience adequate to deliver the goals of the project);
- How the planning of the project will ensure its success
- Is the amount of human resource available sufficient to successfully complete the project

Insert your comments here

--

Resources and Management Classification (please select one category)

Low	<input type="checkbox"/>
Medium	<input type="checkbox"/>
High	<input type="checkbox"/>
Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Overall conclusions

Please summarize your overall view of the proposal

--

Taking into account your previous comments and giving a higher weighting to quality, do you feel that this proposal is:

Scientifically or technically flawed	
Not able to meet one of more of the assessment criteria	
Meets all the assessment criteria but has clear weaknesses	
Meets all the assessment criteria but with minor weaknesses	
A strong proposal that broadly meets all assessment criteria	
A very strong proposal that fully meets all the assessment criteria	

**In terms of the amount of computer time requested, do you feel that:**

The total amount of computing time should be awarded in full:

The computer time awarded should be lower/higher than the total amount requested, please fill in the amount of computer time you feel is appropriate for the project proposed. The reasons for this must be justified below.

Amount of computing time considered necessary for the project:

Reason(s) for change:

**If any amount of time requested exceeds 30 % of the available resources, please provide specific comments of this request:**